



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,666	07/30/2001	Jonathan Lee Hanmann	K35A0872	2708

35219 7590 10/12/2006

WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
ATTN: SANDRA GENUA  
20511 LAKE FOREST DR.  
E-118G  
LAKE FOREST, CA 92630

EXAMINER
----------

WALSH, JOHN B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2151	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/918,666	HANMANN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	John B. Walsh	2151

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) John B. Walsh. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Jason Evans. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 October 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S.P.N. 6,965,926 to Shapiro et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
John B. Walsh  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative discussed a proposed amendment to overcome the prior art reference of Shapiro et al. '926. The proposed amendment included a limitation drawn to the 1st and 2nd communication interfaces being separate and distinct from each other, such that they are not the same communication interface, as was interpreted by the Examiner in the previous Office Action. The examiner agreed such a limitation would distinguish the claim over Shapiro et al. '926. Shapiro et al. '926 discloses a PDA, however there is no explicit disclosure that the PDA of Shapiro et al. '926 communicates over 2 separate and distinct communication interfaces for receiving different parts of a document .